

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,618	07/01/2004	Pauli Haapasalo	11001.138	4325
Christopher J F		EXAMINER		
Fildes & Outlar Suite 2	nd	SELF, SHELLEY M		
20916 Mack Avenue Grosse Pointe Woods, MI 48236			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	08/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H					
 	Application No. Applicant(s)					
	10/500,618	HAAPASALO, PAULI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shelley Self	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 May 2007. This action is FINAL. 2b) ∑ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 May 2007 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/500,618

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on May 21, 2007 has been considered but is ineffective to place the application in condition for allowance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are written more in the form of an Abstract as opposed to a proper method claim. For example, regarding claim no side, i.e., opposite or any other has been positively recited, the opposite sides of what? Additionally, "it" (line 10) renders the claim indefinite as it is not clear what "it" is used to refer to; Examiner suggests clear and positive recitation of structure to what it refers, i.e., --said clamp—. Similar confusion results regarding claim 6.

Regarding claim 1, lines (12-14), does the longitudinal axis extend on both sides of the boundary surface or does the reversible knife extend on both sides of the boundary surface?

Similar confusion results regarding claim 6. Clarification is required

Regarding claim 1, lines (14-16) "which" locking piece is arranged to form a fixed part of the reversible knife or the counter knife. It is not clear as to whether the locking piece is an

Application/Control Number: 10/500,618 Page 3

Art Unit: 3725

integral part of either the reversible or the counter knife or if the locking piece is merely fixed relate to either the reversible or counter knife. Similar confusion results regarding claim 6. Clarification is required.

With regard to claim 6, it is not clear what "they" refers to, Examiner suggests clear and positive recitation of what structure/element "they" refers. Clarification is required.

As it relates the counter surface (clms. 1, 6), it is not clear how the acute angle α is formed, i.e. counter surfaces of what?

There is no antecedent basis for the following"

"the longitudinal axis" (clm. 1, 6), Examiner suggests, --a longitudinal axis—

"the boundary surface", (clm. 1, 6) Examiner suggests, -- a boundary surface—

Examiner notes the above listing of 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections is not conclusive, Applicant is required to review of all of the claims for compliance to eh 35 U.S.C. 112 so as to enable a clear understanding of the claimed invention. Claims 1-9 have not been further treated on the merits however, as presently presented the claims are not deemed allowable.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3725

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shelley Self whose telephone number is 571-272-4524. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shelley Sel#/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3725

August 6, 2007